



All Shooting Times subscribers now have digital access to their magazine content. This means you have the option to read your magazine on most popular phones and tablets. To get started, click the link below to visit mymagnow.com and learn how to access your digital magazine. Get Digital Access This website uses online tracking technologies, such as cookies and pixels, to serve advertising and improve our services. We also share information about your use of our site with our advertising and analytics partners. See our Privacy Policy. New to the game using a 6.5 Creedmoor as well as posting on Snipers Hide although a long time reader. I know the DD Delta 5 has not got a lot of love on the site due to being a factory gun, but I thought getting into the long range game and hoping the modular platform takes off to support after market barrels and accessories. Daniel Defense Delta 56.5 Creedmoor 24Vortex PST EBR-1 6-24x50Vortex PST EB shot due to time on the range breaking in the barrel. Both images are the same group!.42 5 shoot group 1 flyer .33 4 shot group - 1 flyer I havent starting reloading for the 6.5 Creedmoor yet. Does anyone know of a good temperature - stable powder for the TN weather? Also a pole on the best self timing muzzle break would be awesome? Looking at the 419, heathen, jet blast & Little Bastard. Reactions:rottenruger, bennmustang, Overclok79 and 5 others Very nice. Check out the reloading section. Lots of good info. And run a google search for muzzle devices on the site. Nice to see a thread on the Delta 5, looks like it'll be a shooter.....two other brakes to consider might be the 2 piece Beast from Muzzle brakes and more....also the Piercision mini magnum muscle brake.....the latter has a design similar to the Heathen because the Heathen but the little b and the 419 get much love. What would be your favorite of the 2 Heathen or Little B? Its close, but I would probably have to pick the Heathen because the knurled tightening nut makes it "tool-less" the LB you need a wrench. I've never been much of a muzzle brake so far and very easy to remove to clean and inspect the crown occasionally just don't get to ham fisted with it as it will lock up tight with just moderate tension applied. Reactions: bennmustang Another vote for the heathen, area 419 is also very nice but I felt the heathen was more pleasant to shoot with concussion being less at the shooter etc Reactions: Stoweit Are either one of you also running a suppressor? The 419 seems to be tits if going suppressed! Does it require tools to remove? That was the main reason I went with it, don't have the suppressor yet though. And no it doesn't require tools for removal so long as you don't get overzealous when you tighten it down on the taper. The tolerance and fit are very precise on the taper of the two parts so overtightening it will make it more difficult than is necessary to remove. I didn't use anti seize on mine just a thin film of FP-10 since that's my preferred brand of snake oil. Reactions: bennmustang Nice rifle by the way, glad to see someone with a real life report. Thanks! I will get more data during next shooting session. Im positive this gun will come in 3/8 groups or slightly under all day with reloads. For a factory rifle I think it can compete with Tikka all day minus the amazing smith actions that Tikka have. Just got to get the aftermarket support going! Lack of love on this site isnt based off it being a factory rifle. Think its mostly based off of perceived value of what you get versus cost. That being said, value is set by the individual, and it looks like you got a rifle that you enjoy and shoots well for you. Thanks for the report. This provides some user feedback thats been missing from the conversation. Reactions: Stoweit Lack of love on this site isnt based off it being a factory rifle. Think its mostly based off of perceived value of what you get versus cost. That being said, value is set by the individual, and it looks like you got a rifle that you enjoy and shoots well for you. Thanks for the report. This provides some user feedback thats been missing from the conversation. I agree put in the context! It was hard making the discussion on the DD Delta 5. As of right now Im very pleased with the purchase and would do it again and again. Was reading a thread on CA early of the night mare Customer Service and after talking with a DD representative I believe the stand behind the product. The only concern I had when the rifle arrived was the barrel was not centered in the stock. With aluminum bedding block, this was easily corrected by loosening the action screws and resetting them correctly. Roughly 4 pieces of paper would slide down the the stock channel afterwards. Nothing shifted after the first range visit Thanks The only concern I had when the rifle arrived was the barrel was not centered in the stock. This is a big pet peeve of mine. I bought a Bell and Carlson stock for a Tikka rifle and it wont lineup center for nothing. The other two Bell and Carlson stocks I bought were perfect I should have sent it back, but I waited to long. This is a big pet peeve of mine. I bought a Bell and Carlson stock for a Tikka rifle and it wont lineup center for nothing. The other two Bell and Carlson stocks I bought were perfect I should have sent it back, but I waited to long. I agree this was the first thing noticed when setting up the rifle to hit the range. Fortunately DD aluminum bedding block! Reactions:bennmustang and Steel+Killer I fondled one of these at SHOT and could't decide if I should be impressed or not. I liked many of the features and felt at the same time it lacked others. From a hunting or recreational shooting standpoint it looked good. From a hunting or recreational shooting standpoint it looked good. \$200 we would be having a different conversation. Reactions: Stoweit, Cheyenne Bodie and SonicBurlap New to the game using a 6.5 Creedmoor as well as posting on Snipers Hide although a long time reader. I know the DD Delta 5 has not got a lot of love on the site due to being a factory gun, but I thought getting into the long range game and hoping the modular platform takes off to support after market barrels and accessories. Daniel Defense Delta 56.5 Creedmoor 24Vortex PST EBR-1 6-24x50Vortex PST EBR-1 6-24x50V to time on the range breaking in the barrel. Both images are the same group!.42 5 shoot group 1 flyerView attachment 7148534 .33 4 shot group - 1 flyerView attachment 7148535 I havent starting reloading for the 6.5 Creedmoor yet. Does anyone know of a good temperature - stable powder for the TN weather? Also a pole on the best self timing muzzle break would be awesome? Looking at the 419, heathen, jet blast & Little Bastard. Thanks for pics and setup/performance info. Great looking rifle and thank you for helping with ring height selection. I just bought a 6.5cm delta 5 and will be here tomorrow! Glad to see a honest review. If I put 3 shots in one hole at 100 yards and bang steel at 1k yards all the nay sayers can eat my gun powder. would like to see an update from you Reactions: bennmustang I just bought a DD ^5 in 6.5 two weeks ago. Mine was about \$1500 and since I get a nice little military discount I went with a Vortex Razor Gen II 4.5 -27x56 EBR-7c Milliradians (mill/MRAD) and will be running a Area419 Hellfire break and eventually a Silencer Co Suppressor (Thunderbeast Ultra 7 are on back order/sold out). The Hell fire is set up to thread directly into a silencer co suppressor from the research I have done. Yes Im new and the only experience I have with shooting is hunting with my Ruger .270 and Sitron 5-15x50 and Army issued M4. I shot expert with iron sights. I find it more fun to qualify that way. I do need to find a good break in procedure.. Im thinking shoot 3 clean sh military discount I went with a Vortex Razor Gen II 4.5 -27x56 EBR-7c Milliradians (mill/MRAD) and will be running a Area419 Hellfire break and eventually a Silencer co suppressor from the research I have done. Yes Im new and the only experience I have with shooting is hunting with my Ruger .270 and Sitron 5-15x50 and Army issued M4. I shot expert with iron sights. I find it more fun to qualify that way. I do need to find a good break in procedure.. Im thinking shoot 3 clean shoot 3 clean shoot 3 clean shoot 5 clean x3?Still need to buy a bipod and accessories. Any tips for LR Precision shooting would be great!! Nice setup- I am envious of your scope! You dont need to break in the barrel, the beauty of cold hammer forged- you can shoot (accurately) right out of box. I cleaned my delta 5 before I shot it, fourth patch was clean. I use Harris bipods with Swiss arca rails and krg arca clamps. I also have a hog saddle tripod that accepts area rails. I shot a variety of ammo and the federal Berger 130 grain match was impressive. Another thing I like about the barrel is high quickly it cools down. Also I put a small bead of action grease on the bolt and it slides nice and smooth. stretch its legs and bang steel soon. Reactions: bennmustang Tip- get a good rear bag, get your stock in the bag really good and then relax and put your thumb on the ledge - not gripping with it.btw I adjusted my trigger pull just below 1.5 lbs. I think I will adjust the trigger to be lighter, but I will take it to one of the LR guys around here to adjust it so I don't mess it up. Hopefully they have a trigger gauge to measure it. Military discount is a wonderful thing!! I couldn't have afforded most of this without the military discount. I saved a couple grand with the discount. I do need to buy bags and M lock with bipod for the stock. What are some good mid range priced shooting bags? We have a range at Eastern Nebraska Gun club that has a 100, 300, and 600 yard range that I will have to check out. Luckily, the stores around here have some ammo. But middle of no where Nebraska is getting low on shooting supplies.. Bag = protektor modelMid 1/2 leather1 shorter BB bag So I adjusted my Stock using the 90/90 rule. You place your arm at 90 degrees and trigger finger at 90 degrees. I used the thicker spacer they included as extras to take up the space. Im 6ft even. Just was curious how you adjusted your length of pull on your stock. Most people that are 6 ft have the same length of arms. I just bought a DD ^5 in 6.5 two weeks ago. Mine was about \$1500 and since I get a nice little military discount I went with a Vortex Razor Gen II 4.5 -27x56 EBR-7c Milliradians (mill/MRAD) and will be running a Area419 Hellfire break and eventually a Silencer Co Suppressor(Thunderbeast Ultra 7 are on back order/sold out). The Hell fire is set up to thread directly into a silencer co suppressor from the research I have done. Yes Im new and the only experience I have with shooting is hunting with my Ruger .270 and Sitron 5-15x50 and Army issued M4. I shot expert with iron sights. I find it more fun to qualify that way. I do need to find a good break in procedure.. Im thinking shoot 3 clean shoot 3 clean shoot 5 clean x3?Still need to buy a bipod and accessories. Any tips for LR Precision shooting would be great!! The best break in procedure is to go to the range with some quality ammo. Shoot one, then another, then another, then another another, then another another. and so on until you: A) run out of ammoB) you get tired of shooting (don't get the barrel too hot) Go home, clean the rifle. make sure you use a bore guide, a good one piece rod and ammonia free cleaner.get some more ammo, go to the range and do it again. Done. Reactions: Stoweit I did a break in procedure once on a savage 10t 6.5 creedmoor. Rifle shot .5 with 147 eld m reloads. I did not do any kind of break in on my Bergara 6.5 creedmoor. Rifle shoots in the .2-.3 with most loads I have tried. I agree put in the context! It was hard making the discussion on the DD after reading personal opinions that was not a company or marketing team review off the DD Delta 5. As of right now Im very pleased with the purchase and would do it again and again. Was reading a thread on CA early of the night mare Customer Service and after talking with a DD representative I believe the stand behind the product. The only concern I had when the rifle arrived was the barrel was not centered in the stock. With aluminum bedding block, this was easily corrected by loosening the action screws and resetting them correctly. Roughly 4 pieces of paper would slide down the stock channel afterwards. Nothing shifted after the first range visitsorry to drag up an old thread but have a question. I took mine delta 5 apart and am not sure what kind of torque I need to put on the stock and mini chassis. Any ideas? Need to make sure I put it back together straight as well, hopefully anyway... Make: Daniel Defense Model: DDM4 v11 Caliber: 5.56/.223 Location (city or county): SF East BayPrice: 850\$ TYDOther info: 16" 1:7 twist barrel Selling my DD AR-15 5.56 upper in blue kryptek (looks hella cool with black lower). Comes with Magpul MBUS Pro sights and DD Buttstock (it's pinned, to comply with Commiefornia law) and for egrip. Only seen one trip to range and 50 shots through it for sighting in, in mint condition. Reason for selling - getting rid of 5.56 altogether Interested in trades for:1) quality binos2) Tikkas t3x lite or ctr3) Win M704) Howa mini action in 6.5 grendel All Shooting Times subscribers now have digital access to their magazine content. This means you have the option to read your magazine on most popular phones and tablets. To get started, click the link below to visit mymagnow.com and learn how to access your digital magazine. Get Digital Access Just after some real world opinions on this optic. I have the chance to buy one but can't view it as it will be an online purchase from another state. I have read the report from Ilya and that excites me but was after some opinions on long range (over 600) use in the field and the parallax focus whether you have found it troublesome or not. I have one on my bolt gun. Short version: The great: optical quality, evebox, and reticleThe good: price, turrets, and parallaxThe bad: nothing so far that I can see Long version: I've tried tons of different options in the \$700-\$2500 market and I think this is the best bang for the buck. Optically, it's in line with the Razor Gen 2, slightly (but noticeably) above the Cronus and SWFA HD level, and quite a bit above the XTRII/LRHS/etc. level. It holds excellent resolution all the way from 4.5-30x, which is nice, and very little CA. Lots of adjustment remaining. Turrets are good, but not Kahles or TT level IMHO. The parallax is easy to use, but has a small range between 200 and infinity. The depth of field is small-ish at 30x which means you do have to use the knob a good bit (optically, that's just the nature of high magnification). But by the time you back down to around 22x-25x, the depth of field gets much, much larger, and adjustment is really easy. By the time you're at 15x, you hardly need the knob at all. The eye box is forgiving enough the knob easy enough to use that even at 30x, it's no problem to stay on the scope and adjust while looking through it. As for the >600 yards, it's fine. I've only shot mine to 800 yards, it's no problem to stay on the scope and adjust while looking through it. As for the >600 yards, it's no problem to stay on the scope and adjust while looking through it. As for the >600 yards, it's no problem to stay on the scope and adjust while looking through it. real treat with this scope is the LRD-1T reticle. Looking at it on the computer before I bought the scope, I was just kind of so-so on it. It's fine - not great but kind of confusing on all the marks less than 1 mil. But in real-world use, I've never seen a reticle that was so perfect in terms of being open around the center and drawing your focus to the target and having the perfect weight of dot to be use-able at 15x but still not too big at 30x. I spend most of my time with the gun at 22x-25x, and the dot and reticle as a whole are just amazing in that range. All this is coming from someone who loves the Razor Gen 2 optics and build quality, but can't really fall in love with any of their reticles. Only had one match with it so far, but finished tied for 6th out of 30 shooters and was pretty happy with that. Cleaned the prone/bench stages, but the positional stuff always costs me some points. Speaking of positional stuff always costs me some points. run an SWFA HD and Cronus for a long time and enjoying both of them. I tried hard to convince myself to spend \$2500 on an ATACR or Minox, but just couldn't do it. After having bought the Stryker. I really don't even look at those as an upgrade anymore. I know they might be 1% better, but not worth anywhere near the price difference for a data the second state with kids who mostly just shoots as a hobby and enters a couple matches a year. As for the Cronus and SWFA HD, I sold them both pretty quickly after using the Stryker. It's just the perfect combination of reticle, optics, and price to suit what I do. One last thing - the only scopes I can see competing well with this are the Razor Gen 2 (if you like the reticles) or the XTR3, if the optics are a major upgrade over the XTR2 (very interested to see). Otherwise, from a value standpoint, this scope is somewhat in a league of its own. Reactions:Rocky59, Islas82, delinguent pleb and 5 others Thanks for that man. Youve nailed my comparison list to a tee! The only other optic Im thinking about is the new NX8 Range but I know what they will end up costing down here in NZ and I could nearly buy another Stryker for the same cash and keep it on my sons rifle....Thanks again for your reply! Reactions:patriot07 Within the last 2 months have purchased the stryker hd 5x50x56 34mm sfp and all I can do so far is rave about it , its really nice to me I now know at least two other people that after seeing mine have purchased there own. Thought they payed more, one guy had there scope held up in customs and had to pay an extra fee, I was using a sightron s3 10x50x60 the delta is better in every way that as far as I can tell it's just far clearer, you should be really happy with yours if you decide to get it . just to ask where are you thinking of getting yours from ? I went through optic trade and probably will again if I can catch them on sale again . Reactions: eveyoz, Earnhardt and SlimySquirrel Turrets are an important factor for me, especially when competing brands are doing them well and glass is close. Im liking the overall dimensions of the Stryker too and if the Trijicon was available down here and similar price then Id try to source one. This is going on a hunting rifle that doubles as a gong basher. I thought the ESS would be manageable for my purpose but its really not even though its an excellent chassis. Just a bit too cumbersome on my wanders over farm land so the whole rig has been transformed and while Im at it, the optic gets upgraded too from my Athlon Ares BTR. I would go with an Athlon Cronus BTR again but they are \$800 more here and from what I read theyre very comparable with similar dimensions. Reactions; patriot07 Im kind of on the fence, but barely, on pulling the trigger on the 5-50 for a 300 prc. Im new to shooting accurately at very long distances. My only problem is its not ffp that Im used too! Im kind of on the fence, but barely, on pulling the trigger on the 5-50 for a 300 prc. Im new to shooting accurately at very long distances. My only problem is its not ffp that Im used too! Im kind of on the fence, but barely, on pulling the trigger on the 5-50 for a 300 prc. Im new to shooting accurately at very long distances. My only problem is its not ffp that Im used too! Im kind of on the fence, but barely, on pulling the trigger on the 5-50 for a 300 prc. Im new to shooting accurately at very long distances. almost has to be SFP to have a useable reticle on both ends of the range. Yea even with a 5-25 I would not want the reticle any bigger at 25x and at 5x I find it kind of small. Now think about a 5-50. I have never looked through a NF ATACR 7-35 FFP, but I cant imagine you could make a FFP scope with a broader range and still have a usable reticle on both ends. one just arrived along with too short rings. hopefully get it mounted tomorrow and I'm going to the range sunday. very much looking forward to that. used it today at bisley 1000 yards. it is absolutely fantastic compared to my viper hst. very clear glass and everyone who looked through it thought it was good too. the zero stop works very well. I couldn't see the ir in the sunlight though even on max. Reactions: Twisted Onein Seven Anyone have a lead on where this might be in stock in the US? Looking to try one out by edgunwest is all out of stock and every other search I find is outside the US. I have seen one sadly only one, I liked it just could not pay the same amount or what I believed. he paid a little more for the 4.5 x30 ffp as I did for the 5x50 sfp . We both shot and hit the same targets but what he could not make out on paper , I could with the extra mag . I hope if you get one you will be as happy as I am with mine good luck and much success . I have seen one sadly only one , I liked it just could not pay the same amount or what I believe he paid a little more for the 4.5 x30 ffp as I did for the 5x50 sfp . We both shot and hit the same targets but what he could not make out on paper , I could with the extra mag . I hope if you get one you will be as happy as I am with mine good luck and much success . What are you shooting that you couldn't make out with a super clear 30x turrets, and parallaxThe bad: nothing so far that I can see Long version: I've tried tons of different options in the \$700-\$2500 market and I think this is the best bang for the buck. Optically, it's in line with the Razor Gen 2, slightly (but noticeably) above the XTRII/LRHS/etc. level. It holds excellent resolution all the way from 4.5-30x, which is nice, and very little CA. Lots of adjustment remaining. Turrets are good, but not Kahles or TT level IMHO. The parallax is easy to use, but has a small range between 200 and infinity. The depth of field is small-ish at 30x which means you do have to use the knob a good bit (optically, that's just the nature of high magnification). But by the time you back down to around 22x-25x, the depth of field gets much, much larger, and adjustment is really easy. By the time you're at 15x, you hardly need the knob at all. The eye box is forgiving enough the knob easy enough to use that even at 30x, it's no problem to stay on the scope and adjust while looking through it. As for the >600 yards, it's fine. I've only shot mine to 800 yards so far, but played around with it out to about 1,200, and I maintain that it's right on par with the Razor Gen 2 for that whole range, at least IMHO. As good as the optics are, the real treat with this scope is the LRD-1T reticle. Looking at it on the computer before I bought the scope, I was just kind of so-so on it. It's fine - not great but kind of confusing on all the marks less than 1 mil. But in real-world use, I've never seen a reticle that was so perfect in terms of being open around the center and drawing your focus to the target and having the perfect weight of dot to be use-able at 15x but still not too big at 30x. I spend most of my time with the gun at 22x-25x, and the dot and reticle as a whole are just amazing in that range. All this is coming from someone who loves the Razor Gen 2 optics and build quality, but can't really fall in love with any of their reticles. Only had one match with it so far, but finished tied for 6th out of 30 shooters and was pretty happy with that. Cleaned the prone/bench stages, but the positional stuff always costs me some points. Speaking of positional, eye relief and eye box are similar to depth of field - a bit tight at 30x, but really forgiving by the time you drop down to 25x. All in all, after having run an SWFA HD and Cronus for a long time and enjoying both of them, I tried hard to convince myself to spend \$2500 on an ATACR or Minox, but just couldn't do it. After having bought the Stryker, I really don't even look at those as an upgrade anymore. I know they might be 1% better, but not worth anywhere near the price difference for a dad with kids who mostly just shoots as a hobby and enters a couple matches a year. As for the Cronus and SWFA HD, I sold them both pretty quickly after using the Stryker. It's just the perfect combination of reticle, optics, and price to suit what I do.One last thing - the only scopes I can see competing well with this are the Razor Gen 2 (if you like the reticles) or the XTR3, if the optics are a major upgrade over the XTR2 (very interested to see). Otherwise, from a value standpoint, this scope is somewhat in a league of its own. based on your write up and other reviews (Richard Urting in sharp shooter UK for one) I have pulled the trigger and ordered a 5-30 with LRD-1T reticle. Thanks for the review/assessment. Im in your shoes as a new dad with a kid and family commitments edging out my time to shoot. So dropping huge money on a ATACR, Another Kahles (have a K1050) or some other \$3k plus Scope wasnt feasible.Look forward to getting it out to the range... Reactions:GoingTheDistance, patriot07, Ranger.RSA and 1 other person based on your write up and other reviews (Richard Urting in sharp shooter UK for one) I have pulled the trigger and ordered a 5-30 with LRD-1T reticle. Thanks for the review/assessment. Im in your shoes as a new dad with a kid and family commitments edging out my time to shoot. So dropping huge money on a ATACR, Another \$3k plus Scope wasntteen \$3k plus Scope wasntteen \$3k plus Scope wasntteen \$3k plus Scope wasnt in your shoes as a new dad with a kid and family commitments edging out my time to shoot. feasible.Look forward to getting it out to the range... I have the same scope in the mail, hoping for delivery tomorrow. Cant wait. Its replacing a Bushnell LRHS. Knowing someone with a Delta scope who had to have been the dealer being courteous and it in. not actually being a requirement, but... the hell with that. I'm sending it straight to the company and not bothering my dealer. If I can't do that, then not interested. So hopefully there's a US warranty location for Delta. If there isn't, why bother buying one? What's the latest on tracking and drop tests with this model? Anyone here done some, or can point to a source that has?Interested in how the Deltas compare in straight-up functionality and reliability compared with, say, SWFA and Nightforce. There is an optic planet video on you tube that demonstrates the optic being used. Cant vouch for it being a proper tracking test. Since my regular glass-store is selling the Delta Stryker HD at a pretty good dicount I could not refuse. Its the older generation with non locking turrets and the DLR-1 reticle, and I figured it would suit me just fine. Right as it is, it is bolted to my FX Impact PCP rifle, with a Spuhr ISMS mount. When the competitions starts up again after all the Corona crap, I plan to move to scope over to my TRG22. I have had a few high end scopes like Hensoldt, Razor G2, Schmidt PM2 and a few others and looked though and fingerbanged a whole lot more of them. Anyways, this will be more of a first impressions type of deal, take it for what it is. Out of the box, The scope comes with some tools, a "cat tail", a battery, a bikini lenscover and a sun shade. Pretty much what you are used to seeing in the box. I have added a pair of Vortex Defendor lens covers to it, since the picture above was taken. Fit and feel, The scope feels good in general. The finish is excellent. The turrets are a bit mushy, not terrible but kind of on par with Nightforce NXS, and no where near the awesomeness of S&B. The parallax wheel is stiff as hell out of the box, smooth, but still extremely stiff. It has loosened up a bit after I cranked it back and forth a lot of times. I am sure it will get even better with time. The included "cat tail" for the magnification ring is a nice touch. super easy to set.Glass,The glass is good. Very good. As you can guess I have only shot with it out to 100 meters, but I have looked through it out to about 300 meters on my local range. There is very good contrast, good definition, but a pretty shallow depth of field, so proper parallax adjustment is key. I hardly get any CA at all, and to my eyes, the glass is well above the Vortex Razor G2 4.5-27x56 and not that far behind a Schmidt 5-25x56 PM2. Reticle, Very well thought out and designed. I feel that the later versions of the LRD guys reticles are less intuitive, but thats just the oppinion of one. Time will tell. But for the price I payed, its an awesome scope for sure. I plan to run this for at least a year or two. We will se how it works out. Reactions:Dobermann, patriot07 and delinquent pleb Well the warranty part sucks I never saw that when I ordered it thank god and I own two of them except the 5x50 's.where I got mine on sale was under 1600 delivered . this place is cheaper now I think Last edited: Aug 15, 2020 Hi, Is it normal for the turret to be on its 3rd plus revolution when it's zeroed at 100m using a 20moa mount? Also would anyone know if it's possible to somehow reset the turret to be on its 3rd plus revolution when it's zeroed at 100m using a 20moa mount? revolution when it's zeroed at 100m using a 20moa mount? Also would anyone know if it's possible to somehow reset the turn indicator? I assume it's not since mine seems to be fixed. Thanks! Mine has 15 mils of elevation with a 0moa mount on my Tikka CTR. How much does yours have left? Mine has 15 mils of elevation with a 0moa mount on my Tikka CTR. How much does yours have left? I have two full turns up, which is 20 mils if I'm not mistaken, specs say that the scope has 30 mils. Does it mean that if I was to use a 0moa mount I would have used 16 mils, close to yours 15 mils? Last edited: Oct 16, 2020 Reloaders supplies has a new shipment of the Delta Stryker. There's a guy on the NZ shooting forum that sells them also, I can send you his email address if you'd like. Thanks beetroot ... I was meaning Reloaders when I first typed Serious back in August - had seen them on the Reloaders site, but a quick glance suggested it would be cheaper to import directly ... I'll have to run the numbers properly. In any case, still pondering whether this is the best option, or either dropping back a bit in cost (but not reliability) and go with the tried and tested SWFA 5-20, or move up to the ATACR ... choices! I bought a used scope so it didn't come with an instruction manual and I haven't been able to find anything useful online apart from the zeroing and reticle pdfs. Does anyone know of any additional user manuals? For example I'm curious what the height elevation markings on my scope and I simply don't get it. I have two full turns up, which is 20 mils if I'm not mistaken, specs say that the scope has 30 mils height elevation, meaning I have used up 10 mills. My 20moa mount equals 6 mills. Does it mean that if I was to use a 0moa mount I would have used 16 mils, close to yours 15 mils? That's affirmative Just ordered one from Edgun west. Am excited to see what its all about, all I seen is positive. Brian at Edgun west told me warranty goes through them and any work is still done in Europe which at worse probably means a long turn around time so hopefully its not needed but there is support. Reactions: Iron Worker Just ordered one from Edgun west. Am excited to see what its all about, all I seen is positive. Brian at Edgun west told me warranty goes through them and any work is still done in Europe which at worse probably means a long turn around time so hopefully its not needed but there is support. That's who I bought mine from . Its got great glass . Reactions: Islas82 Hows the tracking? Any issues? I can't speak for his, but mine is dead on. I did a 10-shot test once a while back where I started at zero, fired a shot, dialed up .5 mils, fired, dialed back to zero, fired Reactions: Islas82 When you have a scope with such a huge magnification range (5-50) it almost has to be SFP to have a useable reticle on both ends of the range. You are basically using the entire centre cross illuminated as an aiming point at anything under a 100 yards. The dot is just too small. Page 2 I bought a used scope so it didn't come with an instruction manual and I haven't been able to find anything useful online apart from the zeroing and reticle pdfs. Does anyone know of any additional user manuals? For example I'm curious what the height elevation markings on the turret correspond to? One full turret turn equals 3 markings on my scope and I simply don't get it. I bought mine new and it didn't have a manual enclosed, so you're not alone. Reactions:Islas82 I cant find it on here right now, but Im sure Ive read that the Stryker and the Trijicon are the exact same scope but with different reticles offered. Can anyone confirm/deny this? I cant find it on here right now, but Im sure Ive read that the Stryker and the Trijicon are the exact same scope but with different reticles offered. Can anyone confirm/deny this? I dont think theyre the same. They are both LOW scopes and the scope body (shape and size) are similar but turrets, illumination and parallax adjustment is different. The parallax adjustment on the new 2020 Delta Stryker is huge. As of optical quality I couldnt say since I never been behind a trijicon of this type but I can tell you that compared to my MK5 my stryker is right there optically, MK5 is a bit better past 1k yards in image quality but not much. I cant find it on here right now, but Im sure Ive read that the Stryker and the Trijicon are the exact same scope but with different reticles offered. Can anyone confirm/deny this? The Stryker, Trijicon, Cronus and Tract Toric are all the same basic scope with slight variations between models. @beetroot: Apart from the cosmetics, external features, and reticles, do we know much about the difference in the internals / reliability between these models? @beetroot: Apart from the cosmetics, external features, and reticles, do we know much about the difference in the internals / reliability between these models? ILya might know the specifics, but I wouldn't let the minor difference sway my opinion. I have a Delta Stryker and am 99% happy with it. I love the turret setup on them but I'm not loving the reticle. There's a lot too be said about choosing the reticle you like best and just go for that. Reactions: Iron Worker I'm not a fan of the reticles on the Trijicon or the Athlon ... What Delta reticle did you go for again? What did you not like? I'm assuming you got this at least partly for comps ... Is there another of theirs that you think is better? (Might have to go and talk with Scotty and the boys in the new year ...) I'm not a fan of the reticles on the Trijicon or the Athlon ... What did you go for again? What did you like? I'm assuming you got this at least partly for comps ... Is there another of theirs that you think is better?(Might have to go and talk with Scotty and the boys in the new year ...) I've got the LRD-1T. The tree portion is great but the .5mil and .8mil crosses are just weird. Compared to the EBR-7C I'm used to, holding less than a Mil of wind is just not as intuitive as normal .2mil hashes.I am getting used to it but do prefer the EBR-7C.If the tool less zero turrets weren't so damn awesome I probably wouldn't have bought the Stryker and Leica PRS. I liked t reticles so in the end went with Leica and Ballistic reticle.Only thing i wish it had were locking turrets a la the Stryker Hmm .. now that I look at that LRD-1T again, I can't work out what those initial wind holds/subtensions are meant to be ... perhaps it's just the New Year's drinks? Anyone know a subtension guide / diagram of a marked-up reticle for this one? It doesn't appear to be on the main retailers' sites, or on SageRatSafari's Master List. View attachment 7515917I also find this reticle to be less intuitive. It takes some getting used to. The LRD-1T is the same as this but with the added tree. You can use different parts of the crosses to make .1/.2 mil holds but ultimately it's just a PITA. Perhaps if you view it as just having .5mil holds for the first mil then .2mil holds for the next 2, it makes more sense. The reticle and the less than fantastic FOV are the only two things I don't like about the Stryker, everything else is great. The FOV isn't particularly bad but I'm rather fond of a super wide FOV and I do notice it being narrower than my Vortex PST, which admittedly does have one of the best FOVs in the game. The LRD-1T is the same as this but with the added tree. You can use different parts of the crosses to make .1/.2 mil holds for the next 2, it makes more sense. The reticle and the less than fantastic FOV are the only two things I don't like about the Stryker, everything else is great. The FOV isn't particularly bad but I'm rather fond of a super wide FOV and I do not take the picture with the P reticle instead of the T. But as you state, the main portion of the reticle is the same. It annoys me that there are both .1 and .2 jumps and worst of all is that the vertical stadia is different than the other ones. To me, the DLR1 reticle is more intuitive and thus faster to use. behind the design has a lot of things going for them, but they are very slow to develop anything. It took them 5 years to get the JET-01 chassis out to market and it is still in very limited supply. Woah! So, if I read that right, the horizontal/wind stadia go 0.1, 0.3, 0.5 ... and then 0.8? I think that would do my head in ... It's not too bad. Just remember .5mil and .8mil for the 2 crosses and just hold somewhere in between for other holds. Folk have gotten away with .5mil only reticles for many years. It is odd that they've made it that way but not like it's impossible to use. It's not too bad. Just remember .5mil and .8mil for the 2 crosses and just hold somewhere in between for other holds. Folk have gotten away with .5mil only reticles for many years. It is odd that they've made it that way but not like it's impossible to use. It's not impossible to use. It's not impossible to use the reticle fast and intuitively when I make it have to use the reticle under time constraints. That thinking has helped me rule out a lot of scopes that might have ended up costing me both a lot of money and competition results. Not that I am an elite shooter, but we all know it sucks to miss when you could have done better. Reactions:beetroot It's not impossible but I know that if I can't read the reticle fast and intuitively when I'm sitting by a computer at home, I will definitely have problems with it at a competition when I have to use the reticle under time constraints. That thinking has helped me rule out a lot of scopes that might have ended up costing me both a lot of money and competition results. Not that I am an elite shooter, but we all know it sucks to miss when you know you could have done better. I've only used the Stryker at one competition, I was able to use the smaller than .5mil holds but it was not as easy as the EBR-7c. It's a real shame as everything else about the Delta Stryker at one competition. I was able to use the smaller than .5mil holds but it was not as easy as the EBR-7c.It's a real shame as everything else about the Delta Stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the reticles Delta had before the Stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the reticles Delta had before the Stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the reticles Delta had before the Stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the reticles Delta had before the Stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the reticles Delta had before the Stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the reticles Delta had before the Stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the reticles Delta had before the Stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the reticles Delta had before the Stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the reticles Delta had before the Stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the reticles Delta had before the Stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the reticles Delta had before the Stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the reticles Delta had before the Stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the reticles Delta had before the Stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the reticles Delta had before the Stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the reticles Delta had before the Stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the reticles Delta had before the Stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the reticles Delta had before the Stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the reticles Delta had before the Stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the reticles Delta had before the stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the even if some of their designs are weird. It just goes to show that everything does not fit everyone. Look att the LRR-Mil reticle, same guys behind it and it does nothing to appeal to me. I like and use the DLR1 reticle is the MSR, but I can get by with less. I love the LRD-1T reticle - you just have to get used to it. The line sizing is perfect and the target acquisition area is amazing, but I'll agree with those who say the increments inside 1 mil of wind hold are not ideal and do require you to memorize some unintuitive values. But the glass, turrets, etc are worth it to me for sure - it's a scope I can't ever see a need to upgrade from. Reactions:Iron Worker Here's mine. newly mounted in a 6mil Spuhr mount, I love the look of the Spuhr but cant help but think it's completely overkill for 99% of what I'll do with the reticle. I like the crosses at each Mil in the tree, much easier to see at lower magnification than dots. The glass and turrets really are great, I still maintain the only complaints are the centre portion of the reticle and the FOV could be better. Reactions: VargmatII What rifle is that? The receiver looks like a Tikka but the bolt release is in the wrong place. Sako TRG 22. And I agree that the Spuhr ISMS is overkill in some ways, but I like fit and forget type items, it falls into that category. And it looks super clean with the direct mount on the TRG. Does the zero stop limit your elevation adjustment even if you would have more without it? Like the ones used in the Strike Eagles, etc. @beetroot: A few months on ... any updates on the Stryker's reliability? Anything else worth reporting? I haven't used it as much as I would've liked but reliability is great. Cannot fault the fit, finish or function. Shot two matches with it so far and it's preformed great, have another match in a few months, which typically else worth reporting? involves a lot of dialing so should be a good test. I still love the tool less zero, by far my favorite feature. I've also come to discover that the eyebox is very forgiving, had the scope mounted on the wrong rails slot (too far forward) and never had an issue with getting a good test. Reloaders on Sat, but was rushing it, as it was just on closing time ... always tricky testing scopes just on the hand, and not mounted, but the eyebox seemed slightly finicky ... my guess is it's a combination of my eyesight, and not mounted, but the eyebox seemed slightly finicky ... my guess is it's a combination of my eyesight, and not mounted, but the eyebox seemed slightly finicky ... my guess is it's a combination of my eyesight, and not mounted, but the eyebox seemed slightly finicky ... my guess is it's a combination of my eyesight. but was rushing it, as it was just on closing time ... always tricky testing scopes just on the hand, and not mounted, but the eyebox seemed slightly finicky ... my guess is it's a combination of my eyesight, and not having any reference points to hold it in place. I definitely wouldn't call the eyebox finicky, even at 30x it's nice and forgiving. Not sure what your intended use is but given the pricing of NZ scopes I don't think you can do any better than the Stryker for 3k. Reactions:SlimySquirrel I used mine for the first time last week.Was pleasantly surprised by the eye box. ver had an issue getting sight picture and it was nice and sharp.Ignore the yardage on the parallax though. Just dial to clear sight picture. Love mine Newly refreshed model seem to have a more forgivable paralax, orignal one had something 3/4 to get to 300y/m and then 15 to get to a more forgivable paralax wheel all the time. Had one for a year . Newly refreshed model seem to have a more forgivable paralax, original one had something 3/4 to get to 300y/m and then 15 to get to infinity great for a Rimifre but pain in the ass for any longer range shooting as you are fidling that parallax wheel all the time. Had one for a vear . From my experience this is not that big of an issue. I shot a field shooting competition, wich is the biggest to a solution at the biggest of a vear . competition of its kind in Sweden last year using the Delta Stryker on my TRG. It had 15 stages with at least 2 steel targets each, going from about 170 meters all the way out to 1200 meters. I went pretty much the parallax setting just below the infinity mark, and had no issues. Shooting 300 meters or less, the parallax is more picky than it is beyond that range. At least that is what I have found. After a year of shooting with the Stryker HD, my biggest issue with it is turret feel. The clicks are a bit mushy and the parallax is still very tight. I dont have a problem using it and it seems to track perfectly. But I miss the fantastic feel of my previous Schmidt & Bender PM2. I still feel that the glass is top notch on the Stryker HD. No issues at all with the eyebox, I feel that the Delta is pretty forgiving/roomy. Last edited: May 10, 2021 Reactions:SlimySquirrel and Slides I definitely wouldn't call the eyebox finicky, even at 30x it's nice and forgiving.Not sure what your intended use is but given the pricing of NZ scopes I don't think you can do any better than the Stryker for 3k. Thanks. Ultimate use would be on a comp rimfire - but will possibly need to do infill duty on a new 6.5 Creed until I have the scope I have decided to pull the trigger on one. It all good news with this scope. My 2nd Stryker 4.5-30 is arriving tomorrow. Moving my pre-2020 model to my rimfire and fitting the new model to my range rifle. Cant fault the Stryker 4.5-30 is arriving tomorrow. Moving my pre-2020 model to my range rifle. rifle. Cant fault the Stryker.Now just wish I could justify getting a unimount from Sphur for both scopes. With two scopes you obviously need two mounts. Go on and place an order for them. got Delta Optical Stryker HD 4.5-30x56 LRD-1T for our "NRL22". was looking for 34mm tube, because i allready have 20 MOA monomount for it. I realy don't like how turrets unscrew for settings (pain in the ass), i don't like locking turets, i don't like so big turrets. magnification ring is very tight, paralax ring a little less tight than magnification. inner reticle is less intuitive than straight 0.2 MIL separation. paralax is now turning 7/8 of a turn, so it is very suitable for big calibers too, just like for 22lr. i didnt have the option for choosing between all japan scopes of the same design like tract toric 4.5-30x56, athlon cronus btr (and delta). so no real options. maybe if i could choose, i would go with tract toric because of same nice optic, but different reticle and turets. trijicon is probably the same as delta but pricier. but paralax setting is probably the best (the longest) at delta and trijicon.but illuminated small portion of the inner section, all other reticles are illuminated all of them. Last edited: May 28, 2021 Mounted up in badger meduims. Dials accurately and is super easy to get behind. Throw lever is a must as my power ring is STIFF I've only used the EBR-7c. It's a real shame as everything else about the Delta Stryker is fantastic and I can't see any good reason to design the reticle the way they have. If you know anyone who wants one, there's currently one on TM for NZ\$2400.I'm still not convinced about the reticle ... but then Bushnell went and used a non-intuitive .25 mil hash marks on the DMR3, rather than a sensible .2/tenths-based system also ... Last edited: Mar 13, 2022 If you know anyone who wants one. there's currently one on TM for NZ\$2400.I'm still convinced about the reticle ... but then Bushnell went and used a non-intuitive .25 mil is still more intuitive than the mess that is the LRD-1T, so long as a reticle is consistent you can work with it, if the first 2 mils (the ones you use all the time) are such mishmash of different ideas it's a PITA to use. Otherwise the scope is excellent, if you are someone who doesn't care about reticles it's a good choice. .25 mil is still more intuitive than the mess that is the LRD-1T, so long as a reticle is consistent you can work with it, if the first 2 mils (the ones you use all the time) are such mishmash of different ideas it's a PITA to use. Otherwise the scope is excellent, if you are someone who doesn't care about reticles it's a good choice. That's very helpful - thanks! Think I'll hold off for a better option for now ... I should add I know quite a few people who use the Stryker and don't have quite as strong opinions as I do. There are quite a few of them at NZ competitions these days, if you are interested you should be able to get a decent look through and play with one. Thanks. Yeah, I know Graeme runs one and Kerry's had one; I also checked one out at Reloaders a while back. Looking at it and this might sound weird - I actually think I could get the subtensions to actually work for my brain; it's more that I don't think one should have to, and that something more intuitive, with single-digit decimals in regular graduations, makes more sense. My guess is the popularity of the Stryker here is more about it being a relatively good guality scope with an in-country distributor, features that work for field comps, and a lower price point than NF, rather than being a strongly superior optic overall ... For me, after reliability, reticle is paramount, rather than being a strongly superior optic overall ... For me, after reliability, reticle is paramount, rather than being a strongly superior optic overall ... For me, after reliability, reticle is paramount, rather than being a strongly superior optic overall ... For me, after reliability, reticle is paramount, rather than being a strongly superior optic overall ... For me, after reliability, reticle is paramount, rather than being a strongly superior optic overall ... For me, after reliability, reticle is paramount, rather than being a strongly superior optic overall ... For me, after reliability, reticle is paramount, rather than being a strongly superior optic overall ... For me, after reliability, reticle is paramount, rather than being a strongly superior optic overall ... For me, after reliability, reticle is paramount, rather than being a strongly superior optic overall ... For me, after reliability, reticle is paramount, rather than being a strongly superior optic overall ... For me, after reliability, reticle is paramount, rather than being a strongly superior optic overall ... For me, after reliability, reticle is paramount, rather than being a strongly superior optic overall ... For me, after reliability, reticle is paramount, rather than being a strongly superior optic overall ... For me, after reliability, reticle is paramount, rather than being a strongly superior optic overall ... For me, after reliability, reticle is paramount, rather than being a strongly superior optic overall ... For me, after reliability, reticle is paramount, rather than being a strongly superior optic overall ... For me, after reliability, reticle is paramount, rather than being a strongly superior optic overall ... For me, after reliability, reticle is paramount, rather than being a strongly superior optic overa ZP5.Again, super-thankful for your reflections on the Delta a year ago - I think you saved me \$3k! The Daniel Defense DELTA 5 308 Win is a bolt-action rifle sectors, handling, performance, and overall value proposition. This rifle isnt for everyone, but it excels in specific applications. Overview This rifle, as part of the DELTA series, is intended for serious target shooters and those looking for a reliable and accurate firearm. The 308 Win caliber offers suitable power and versatility. The rifles design emphasizes accuracy and durability, reflecting Daniel Defenses reputation in firearms manufacturing. Construction & FeaturesAction: The bolt action is a key element. The rifles design suggests a focus on precision with a smooth and profile are essential for consistent velocity and minimal deviation. This is crucial if the rifle will be used in competition or hunting. See 3,000+ New Gun Deals HERE Stock: The stocks ergonomics significantly impact handling. Ideally, a stock should fit well and offer adequate support for various should be considered. Trigger is a noteworthy aspect. A consistent and crisp trigger pull is what most shooters want. In a precision rifle, it can make a significant difference. Sights: This review is unable to assess the sighting system without physically handling the product. See 3,000+ New Gun Deals HERE Other Notable Features: Additional accessories, such as a scope mounts or other features. would be beneficial to know what additional capabilities the rifle offers. Handling & Operation My experience with handling the rifle are essential for a smooth and manageable shooting experience. A well-balanced rifle reduces felt recoil. Ergonomics: The stocks design and fit are critical for comfort during longer shooting sessions. A comfortable hold is critical for accuracy. Ease of Use: A simple and reliable action is key for ease of use. A shooter should be able to manipulate all parts with minimal struggle. Performance EvaluationMy experience with the rifle is limited. However, based on user reviews and specifications: Accuracy: The accuracy. Its important to attain effective accuracy. 3,000+ New Gun Deals HERE Reliability: This is a key aspect, especially for any tactical or long-distance application. A rifle that fails to operate reliably in use can be detrimental to the shooter should account for this element. Pros & ConsPros: Potential for exceptional accuracy. Durable construction, designed for longevity. Expected reliability, as a product from a reputable brand. Versatility in applications , such as target shooting or hunting. Customization potential of optics or accessories. Likely to be effective with a proper setup. Cons: Potentially high price point.Weight will contribute to the overall price, potentially impacting maneuverability. Limited in-depth testing is performed during a review. Lack of knowledge on the specifics of how the product was configured for the test (if any). Additional accessories may cost extra to improve accuracy, reliability, or comfort. Limited opportunity for precise evaluation from only reading online descriptions. Value Proposition The DELTA 5 aims to be a top-of-the-line hunting or target rifle. The value comes from the integration of quality, performance, and potentially, future upgrades. However, the price may exceed the value for users not needing such a high-end precision tool. Careful consideration of individual needs must be made prior to purchasing the item. It depends on how the rifle is used, if its properly maintained, and the shooters willingness to tune it up. ConclusionThe Daniel Defense Delta 5 308 Win presents a professional and potentially accurate firearm. The design and features indicate an attempt at a high-quality product. The final judgment of value depends heavily on individual needs and budget. A comprehensive review requires hands-on experience; this review serves more as an informative overview rather than a definitive appraisal. Home Product Reviews Daniel Defense DELTA 5 .308 Win Bolt Action 20 Rifle 42-159-07265 Review

Delta 5 pro review. Delta 5 review. Delta 5® .308. Delta 5 pro 16 308. Daniel defense delta 5 pro 308 review. Delta 5 pro 308 review.